

Exhibit 36

NSC was under financial distress in overdue legal fees to our attorney related to obtaining the court order injunction to remove false and defamatory reviews.

Google's legal department was inconsistent, refused to remove and or didn't respond to emails or phone call attempts to their legal department.

Google 'ran the clock out' (more than 30 days) on final summary judgement, amendments wouldn't be possible to add to the order and NSC was told unless they could pay overdue legal fees there was nothing else that could be done.

(see below)

-----Original Message-----

From: Brett Lewis [<mailto:brett@ilawco.com>]

Sent: Friday, January 11, 2013 9:15 AM

To: Evan Guttman

Subject: Re: Michael wants to know the cost of going back to the judge for those additional links.

At this point in time, cost is not the issue. Too much time has passed since the judgment was entered to modify the judgment or reopen the case. We had about a month to file if we were going to.

-----Original Message-----

From: Evan Guttman

Sent: Friday, January 11, 2013 10:55 AM

To: Michael Arnstein

Subject: FW: Michael wants to know the cost of going back to the judge for those additional links.

Google only started rejecting us after a month had already passed. Maybe they knew this too.

Evan Guttman

President www.47thSt.com

CIO www.TheNaturalSapphireCompany.com

Office: 212-869-1165 x7 Cell: 917-749-7499

On Jan 11, 2013, at 6:41 PM, Michael Arnstein wrote:

To: Brett Lewis [<mailto:brett@ilawco.com>]

I'm confused here? How does time effect this?

Did we not have all the links in the initial request/filing with the court?

If it wasn't over a month period, how would you be dealing with this?

From: Brett Lewis [mailto:brett@ilawco.com]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 11:14 AM

To: Michael Arnstein

Cc: Evan Guttman

Subject: Re: Michael wants to know the cost of going back to the judge for those additional links.

Michael,

The Court entered a final judgement. That means that the case is disposed of. There is a limited amount of time to petition to re-open a case once it's been closed.

Google ran out the clock, although I do not believe that it was intentional. More likely, Google does not want us to keep running back to it asking it to take down more links.

If we were under 30 days, we could have discussed filing a petition to modify the judgment, but it would have been costly, and you would not have gotten everything that you wanted -- we might not have gotten anything. We would also have been having a discussion about our invoices, which would need to be paid before we could take on another substantial piece of motion practice.

Please let me know if you'd like to talk.

Best,

Brett

Brett E. Lewis, Esq.

Lewis & Lin, LLC

45 Main Street, Suite 608

Brooklyn, NY 11201

(718) 243.9323, Ext. 2

(718) 243-9326 (fax

www.iLawco.com

www.TrademarkAttorneys.com

From: **Michael Arnstein** <MICHAEL@thenaturalsapphirecompany.com>

Date: Mon, Jan 14, 2013 at 1:50 PM

Subject: RE: Michael wants to know the cost of going back to the judge for those additional links.

To: Brett Lewis <brett@ilawco.com>

Cc: Evan Guttman <Evan@thenaturalsapphirecompany.com>

So you're saying that 30 days is based on your experience/opinion or is that actually a court procedure/rule?

From my review there are very old and very prominent posts coming up very high in the results in google.

Can you confirm that Evan didn't give you all the links to be included in the filing? Or is it just google being randomly selective about what they will and will not comply with per what is ordered in the injunction?

At this point anyone who searches for 'review' 'scam' sees more than enough posts to turn them away, this is the sad reality to the current state of goal.

Regarding what we owe you, I'm not sure how our bookkeeper missed more than \$10,000. In bills, b/c what I just reviewed in our system is far far less than the statement I just reviewed your office sent us. We had the worst year in our history in 2012, I've tried to continue the piecemeal payments every month and will continue to do so. As you know the ongoing billing for services is what has kept the balances from getting closer to zero. If we stop the work now then I guess we'll get the balance to zero, but I'm still sitting with a really bad reputation online which should be considered in why I'm so frustrated with the situation Brett.

If we can't afford to do the future work on this with you, can the case be transferred to another attorney who can take over the procedural work that would happen moving forward on adding more links to the order etc.

I will have my bookkeeper get in touch with your office for the statements/invoices that were missed here.

Thank you,

Michael Arnstein
